2 May 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Houston

SUBJECT : Veterans' Preference Act - Applicability to CIA

l. The Veterans' Preference Act places veterans in a preferred position in regard to separation from Federal employment in cases of reductions in force. In addition, the Act and the Civil Service Commission's regulations prescribed thereunder, set up reduction in force procedures and employee preferences therein which cover nonveterans as well as veterans. This Agency has, as a matter of practice, LEG to termination, re-employment, etc...

To date there has been no resolution or even a test of the question whether or not the Act is applicable to the Agency.

LEG **2**5X1

the Department of Commerce Appropriation Act of 1953 (66 Stat.549, 567), and Service v. Dulles (Tab B), in which the separation was based upon authority in the Department of State Appropriation Act of 1950 (63 Stat.447, 456). Although the question of veterans' preference did not arise in either case, the court in each case held that the statutory language left absolute discrimination in the head of the Department and the reasons for the exercise of his discretion were immaterial. The ruling of State admittedly exercised his discretion to separate Service because of a finding of the Civil Service Commission Loyalty Board which was held by the court to be a nullity. A Supreme Court decision on the Service case is pending, but because of the peculiar facts in regard to the Loyalty Board finding.

LEGL

I FGI

25X1

3. In Myers v. Hollister (Tab C), the separation of a veterans' preference eligible under a special authority in the Mutual Security

Approved For Releaser (2024) 1013 6114-RD 260-0024-2R000100130001-9

Justi findi the s	ce mentioned in p ng of the court : pecial statutory	ssed the informal paragraph one abo in the Myers' cas authority grante 'Preference Act	ove, based his se. There the ed to the Dire	opinion on the	urity ³ LE
the s	tatement of the	court in each cas	se that it sho	Service cases is uld be noted that e might be either	L

25X1A

Approved For Release Approved For Release

6. The most notework separation is one on reduction on this subject was the problem of the rumors filed without action. Bot paragraph 2 make reductions in force and in Commission regulations are a question as to whether our regulations in order than the vectors of the National Security A	ment). It is doubtful that any harm is done sideration should be given to including a cach. 25X1A9A thy omission in the series of regulations on cition in force procedures. divises that several years ago a proposed regulation of the such a regulation might start, it was the paragraph B(2)(a) and proposed reference to veterans' preference and a doing so at least infer that Civil Service controlling in such cases. This raises or not we should delete such references from to give us a freer hand in reductions in force cases when we may not desire to follow Civil ares. This is not to say that the referenced eseparations in such cases under Section 102(c) act, especially in view of the several court or authorities mentioned above.
25X1A9A	Office of General Counsel

Attachments

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A